





Improving the Prediction of miRNA:mRNA Interactions by Exploiting Co-Clustering Methods

Gianvito Pio¹, Michelangelo Ceci¹, Domenica D'Elia² and Donato Malerba¹

¹University of Bari – Department of Computer Science - Bari, Italy ² CNR-Institute for Biomedical Technologies - Bari, Italy





The considered task

Prediction of **interactions** between microRNAs (miRNAs) and messenger RNAs (mRNAs) for the discovery of putative **miRNA:mRNA interaction networks**.

- a comprehensive analysis of **cooperative targeting of miRNAs** of interest
- the discovery of unknown miRNA and mRNA functions
- the discovery of **unknown miRNA targets** which could be worth to be experimentally validated



Improving the Prediction of miRNA:mRNA Interactions by Exploiting Co-Clustering Methods





Network reconstruction

A very small set of validated interactions is available since they derive from **expensive in-vitro experiments**.

Several approaches can be adopted to perform **link prediction**, but they often fail in simultaneously considering all the possible criteria:

- network topology
- nodes properties
- autocorrelation among nodes
- etc.







Network reconstruction

• Link Prediction

Learning to combine the output of several prediction approaches to exploit their different peculiarities

G. Pio, M. Ceci, D. D'Elia, D. Malerba, *Integrating microRNA target predictions for the discovery of gene regulatory networks: a semi-supervised ensemble learning approach*, BMC Bioinformatics 15 (Suppl 1), S4, 2014

Identification of interaction sub-networks

Coclustering for the identification of highly connected subgraphs from known and predicted links

G. Pio, M. Ceci, D. D'Elia, C. Loglisci, D. Malerba, A Novel Biclustering Algorithm for the Discovery of Meaningful Biological Correlations between microRNAs and their Target Genes, BMC Bioinformatics 14 (Suppl 7), S8, 2013





Motivations of the work

- Existing methods analyze single interaction independently each other.
- Assumption: the existence of an interaction can strongly depend on possible inter-dependencies among groups of miRNAs and mRNAs



- How can we identify such inter-dependencies?
- How can we exploit them in order to improve the accuracy of predictions?

Improving the Prediction of miRNA:mRNA Interactions by Exploiting Co-Clustering Methods

Dipartimento di





Motivations of the work



EGLI STUDI DI BARI LDO MORO

Can we exploit co-clustering methods?

They are able to **identify possible inter-dependencies**, since miRNAs and mRNAs involved in the same sub-networks are somehow (functionally and/or structurally) related/dependent.

We adopt our co-clustering method HOCCLUS2*

*G. Pio, M. Ceci, D. D'Elia, C. Loglisci, D. Malerba, A Novel Biclustering Algorithm for the Discovery of Meaningful Biological Correlations between microRNAs and their Target Genes, BMC Bioinformatics 14 (Suppl 7), S8, 2013

Dipartimento di





Exploiting the identified co-clusters

We **<u>add</u>** a miRNA:mRNA interaction to the dataset:

 if it is not already present in the set of interactions and falls in the same interaction network(s)

it might be functionally related with other elements in the network because of unknown cooperative activities of the miRNA with other miRNAs and/or because of still unknown functions of the genes in that functional network

• with a score corresponding to the **co-cluster cohesiveness**, which is the average score of all the interactions in the co-cluster

the stronger the known interactions within the co-cluster, the higher the possibility of the existence of the new discovered interaction

(N.B. if it appears in more than one co-cluster, we take the maximum score)

Dipartimento di



NETTAB 2015

JNIVERSITÀ



Exploiting the identified co-clusters



Dipartimento di







Exploiting the identified co-clusters

We **<u>remove</u>** a miRNA:mRNA interaction from the dataset:

 if it is already present in the set of interactions and does not fall in any interaction network(s)

their interaction is not validated by their possible cooperation and/or similarity in terms of functionality and/or structure.



NETTAB 2015



Exploiting the identified co-clusters



Dipartimento di







Initial set of predictions:

~5 millions interactions predicted by our semisupervised method and publicly available at ComiRNet (http://comirnet.di.uniba.it/)

HOCCLUS2 paramaters:

 $\alpha \in \{0.2, 0.3\}, \beta \in \{0.3, 0.4, 0.5\}$

Settings:

- **Original dataset** 1.
- Original dataset + new links, on the basis of HOCCLUS2 2.
- Original dataset removed links, on the basis of HOCCLUS2 3.
- Original + new links, removed links, on the basis of HOCCLUS2 4.

*G. Pio, M. Ceci, D. Malerba, D. D'Elia, ComiRNet: a Web-based System for the Analysis of miRNA-gene Regulatory Networks, BMC Bioinformatics 16 (Suppl 9), S7, 2015



Dipartimento di









Preliminar Experiments

Evaluation measures:

- AUC on the testing set TarBase 6.0 (65,000 validated interactions)
- \bullet Average cohesiveness μ_q and biological significance of the interaction networks

The biological significance is evaluated by means of the **T-Test** which:

- compares intra-cluster similarity and inter-cluster similarity
- exploits two hierarchies in Gene Ontology (Biological Process and Molecular Function) and outputs two p-values, p_{BP} and p_{MF}
- is based on the gene similarity function **simGIC**



NETTAB 2015





Dipartimento di INFORMATICA

Preliminar Experiments

	α	β	Setting	AUC
			+/-	0.502
Original dataset AUC: 0.649		0.3	+	0.650
			-	0.500
		0.4	+/-	0.503
	0.2		+	0.650
			-	0.500
		0.5	+/-	0.502
			+	0.649
			-	0.500
			+/-	0.502
		0.3	+	0.649
			-	0.500
			+/-	0.501
	0.3	0.4	+	0.649
			-	0.500
			+/-	0.500
		0.5	+	0.649
			-	0.500

Improving the Prediction of miRNA:mRNA Interactions by Exploiting Co-Clustering Methods







Preliminar Experiments

Original Dataset

C	1		Lev	vel 1				Max lev	el		Best level					
α	β	#cc	рMF	pВР	μq	level	#cc	рМF	рВР	μq	level	#cc	рMF	pВР	μq	
	0.3	888	1.000	1.000	0.690	7	143	0.000	0.000	0.240	2	444	0.000	0.000	0.520	
0.2	0.4	591	0.404	1.000	0.770	8	25	0.175	0.080	0.120	3	148	0.000	0.000	0.390	
	0.5	417	0.361	0.244	0.830	7	53	0.000	0.000	0.240	3	105	0.000	0.000	0.430	
	0.3	888	1.000	1.000	0.690	6	268	0.002	0.001	0.370	3	309	0.000	0.000	0.420	
0.3	0.4	591	0.404	1.000	0.770	6	161	0.001	0.377	0.380	2	298	0.000	0.001	0.570	
	0.5	417	0.361	0.244	0.830	7	104	0.000	0.000	0.390	4	110	0.000	0.000	0.420	

Original dataset + New Links

			Lev	vel 1		Max level					Best level				
α	β	#cc	рМF	рВР	μq	level	#cc	рМF	рВР	μq	level	#cc	рМF	рВР	μq
	0.3	651	0.002	1.000	0.662	7	77	0.000	0.000	0.238	2	326	0.000	0.000	0.548
0.2	0.4	498	0.043	0.051	0.768	7	46	0.019	0.015	0.245	3	126	0.001	0.000	0.481
	0.5	311	0.019	0.006	0.830	6	27	0.001	0.000	0.242	2	156	0.000	0.000	0.674
	0.3	401	0.000	0.000	0.577	6	146	0.000	0.001	0.362	1	401	0.000	0.000	0.577
0.3	0.4	440	0.001	0.024	0.734	6	97	0.000	0.000	0.370	2	220	0.000	0.000	0.616
	0.5	310	0.011	0.009	0.829	6	55	0.000	0.000	0.380	4	62	0.000	0.000	0.420

NETTAB 2015





Conclusions

The idea of exploiting co-clustering for improving the accuracy of miRNA:mRNA prediction appears promising. Preliminary experiments show that:

- The addition of interactions according to the discovered subnetworks can improve the accuracy and can lead to more coherent interaction networks
- The deletion of interactions when they do not appear in any interaction network is too much restrictive and can lead to a degeneration in the results.







Conclusions

Future works:

• To consider external resources in the co-clustering algorithm in order to avoid strong decisions in the addition and in the deletion

• To consider smarter approaches for the combination of the scores when an interaction appears in more than one co-cluster.







Questions?

Availability:

- Link prediction system: <u>www.di.uniba.it/~ceci/micFiles/systems/semisupervised_HOCCLUS2/</u>
- HOCCLUS2: <u>www.di.uniba.it/~ceci/micFiles/systems/HOCCLUS/</u>
- Biological query system ComiRNet: comirnet.di.uniba.it